

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/828,805 | 04/22/2004 | William Paul Swanson | = | 5054 |
| 7590 07/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| William Paul Swanson 3621 SW 18th Street Gainesville, FL 32608 | | | SEMBER, THOMAS M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2875 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| B |
|---|
| ¥ |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summan. | 10/828,805 | SWANSON, WILLIAM PAUL | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Thomas M. Sember | 2875 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A | pril 2005. | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under l | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | • | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is a run-on sentence.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Drawings

The subject matter of this application requires an illustration by at least one drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 8 "hung an a wall" is idiomatically incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,805 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (Jones et al or Hashimoto) in view of Redick. (Jones et al or Hashimoto) discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching of a light pipe being polished. Redick teaches a light pipe 28 having a polished finish. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the light pipes of (Jones et al or Hashimoto) so as to include a polished finish as taught by Redick in order to efficiently transmit light. Note the recitation of "function as a table lamp or a floor lamp, but it could be hung on a wall" is merely intended use language and the light pipes of (Jones et al or Hashimoto) are capable of being used for those purposes.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is 571-272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 A.M- 5.30 p.m. first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,805 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas M Sember Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875
